## Comment Set E.14: Applicant – Public Services

## ANTELOPE-PARDEE 500kV TRANSMISSION PROJECT SCE COMMENTS & SUGGESTED REVISIONS ON DEIR/DEIS C.11 PUBLIC SERVICES

Comment	Section	Page	Line	Comment	Remarks/How Suggested to Resolve
Comment No.	Section C.11.5	Page C.11- 4,5	Line Impact P-1	October 2006     Comment     The conclusion reached that construction activities would temporarily increase demands on fire and police protections appears to be based on many speculative assumptions that are not reasonably foreseeable.     As stated in the SCE's PEA, the demand for public services including fire and police protections would not increase significantly. SCE has developed a Fire Prevention and Response Plan (FPRP) that addresses construction activities for the project and establishes standards and practices that would minimize the risk of fire danger, and in the event of fire, provide for immediate suppression and notification.     SCE does not agree that this FPRP does not sufficiently address the fire protections concerns of the ANF since it was prepared in coordination with the ANF for SCE's Pardee-Pastoria Project. SCE also disagrees therefore that the potential for a wildland fire associated with Project construction to draw firefighting resources from other critical areas would be a significant impact.     SCE also disagrees that demands on fire	Remarks/How Suggested to Resolve   Remove the language stating that construction activities would temporarily increase demands on fire and police protections from this section.   Revise the language in this section to reflect SCE's comments.
				SCE also disagrees that demands on life protection services in the Cities of Santa Clarita and Lancaster and unincorporated Los Angeles County would be considered significant since this conclusion is again based on many speculative assumptions that are not reasonably foreseeable.	

## October 2006

E.14-1

Comment No.	Section	Page	Line	Comment	Remarks/How Suggested to Resolve	
2	C.11.5	C.11-4	Mitigation Measure P-1	This Mitigation Measure is excessive as many of the measures in the FPRP apply solely to wildlands.	Modify Mitigation Measure P-1 to include language recognizing that fire protection concerns are very different on non-wildlands and that the FPRP will be revised accordingly.	E.14-2

## **Response to Comment Set E.14: Applicant – Public Services**

- E.14-1 As the majority of the proposed Project would occur on ANF lands and the greatest potential for wildfire occurs on ANF lands, and as the ANF has stated its concerns that the FPRP does not sufficiently address the potential increased risk of wildfire and how that may affect firefighting resources, no change will be made to the discussion of increased demands on fire and police protection. Additionally, while the FPRP was developed for the Pardee-Pastoria 220-kV Reconductor Project, as the ANF has found that it is not sufficient for the Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project, no change will be made to the analysis or mitigation. Finally, as the fire agencies which would respond to wildland fires in the Cities of Santa Clarita and Lancaster and unincorporated Los Angeles County would largely draw from the same pool of resources as for the ANF, the conclusion regarding increased demand on fire protection resources to these areas will remain unchanged.
- E.14-2 While many of the measures in the FPRP apply solely to wildlands, as wildlands exist in the Cities of Santa Clarita and Lancaster and in unincorporated Los Angeles County, the FPRP should apply equally to those jurisdictions as it does the ANF. No changes will be made to the mitigation.